arXiv:1303.6792v2 [math.PR] 28 Mar 2013

High points for the membrane model in the critical dimension

Alessandra Cipriani*

March 29, 2013

Abstract

In this notice we would like to study the fractal structure of the set of high points for the membrane model in the critical dimension $d = 4$. We are able to compute the Hausdorff dimension of the set of points which are atypically high, and also that of clusters, showing that high points tend not to be evenly spread on the lattice. We will see that these results follow closely those obtained by O. Daviaud [Dav06] for the 2-dimensional discrete Gaussian Free Field.

1 The model

The field of random interfaces has been widely studied in statistical mechanics. These interfaces are described by a family of random variables indexed by the d-dimensional integer lattice, which are considered as a height configuration, namely they indicate the height of the interface above a reference hyperplane. The probability of a configuration depends on its energy (the Hamiltonian), which defines a measure on the space of such configurations. The most well-known models are the so called “gradient models", in particular the Discrete Gaussian Free Field, or harmonic crystal, whose Hamiltonian is a function of the discrete gradient of the heights for which the most likely configurations are those of approximately constant height. However, physicists needed to model other types of interfaces, and an example is our model, the so called “membrane model", which belongs to the wider class of “semiflexible interfaces". The study

---

*Institut für Mathematik, Universität Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057, Zurich, Switzerland; `alessandra.cipriani@math.uzh.ch`.

of such interfaces was firstly undertaken by Sakagawa in [Sak03]; we are aware of the contributions of Kurt ([Kur09], [Kur07]) regarding also a phenomenon called "entropic repulsion" in dimension 4. For semiflexible models a recent paper is due to Borecki and Caravenna ([CB10]).
The Membrane Model is a Gaussian multivariate random variable whose Hamiltonian depends on the mean curvature of the interface, in particular favors configurations whose curvature is approximately constant. It is indeed a lattice-based scalar field $\{\varphi_x\}_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ where φ_x is viewed as a height variable at the site x of the lattice. Denote by $V_N := [-N,N]^d \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$ the centered box of side-length $2N+1$. Let

$$\mathbf{P}_N(\mathrm{d}\varphi) = \frac{1}{Z_N}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d}(\Delta\varphi_x)^2\right)\prod_{x\in V_N}\mathrm{d}\varphi_x \prod_{x\in\partial_2 V_N}\delta_0(\mathrm{d}\varphi_x), \tag{1.1}$$

where Δ is the discrete Laplacian, $\partial_2 V_N := \{y \in V_N^c : d(y,V_N) \le 2\}$ and Z_N is the normalising constant. It is straightforward to check, by resummation, that $\mathbf{P}_N$ is the law of the centered Gaussian field on V_N with covariance matrix

$$G_N(x,y) := \mathbf{Cov}_N(\varphi_x,\varphi_y) = (\Delta_N^2)^{-1}(x,y).$$

Here, $\Delta_N^2(x,y) = \Delta^2(x,y)1_{\{x,y\in V_N\}}$ is the Bilaplacian with 0-boundary conditions outside V_N. In other words, G_N satisfies, for $x \in V_N$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^2 G_N(x,y) &= \delta_{xy}, \quad y \in V_N \\ G_N(x,y) &= 0, \quad y \in \partial_2 V_N.\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

For $d \ge 3$ the infinite volume Gibbs measure P exists [Kur08, Prop. 1.2.3] and is the law of the centered Gaussian field with covariance matrix

$$G(x,y) = \Delta^{-2}(x,y).$$

The membrane model presents several points in common, as well as challenging differences, from the more known DGFF. As we are later going to show, it presents the same logarithmic behavior of covariances; multiscale decomposition techniques, which were widely applied to the harmonic crystal to study its maxima, are also well-suited in our context to investigate high points, since by conditioning on smaller subsets of V_N we obtain a rescaled field in the new domain. However, the membrane model lacks one key feature of the DGFF, which is the random walk representation for the Green's function. It is neither possible to rely on harmonic analysis to control the field, and this renders many problems solved for the harmonic

crystal quite intractable. At any rate we will see that for the problem in question the two first properties will be sufficient.
The starting point is understanding how many “high” points there are typically. The first step is to find the average height of the field, in other words to show that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\max_{x\in V_N} \varphi_x\right) / \log N \overset{N\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} c.$$

Theorem 1.1 ([Kur09, Theorem 1.2]) *Let $d = 4$, $\delta \in (0,1)$,*

$$V_N^\delta := \{x \in V_N : d(x, V_N^c) \geq \delta N\}$$

and let $g := 8/\pi^2$. Then

(a)

$$\lim_{N\to+\infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{x\in V_N} \varphi_x \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\log N\right) = 0.$$

(b) If $0 < \delta < 1/2$, $0 < \eta < 1$ there exists $C = C(\eta,\delta) > 0$ s. t.

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{x\in V_N^\delta} \varphi_x \geq \left(2\sqrt{2g} - \eta\right)\log N\right) \leq \exp\left(-C\log^2 N\right).$$

For us then an *α-high point* will be a point whose height is greater than $2\sqrt{2g}\alpha \log N$. The behavior of α-high points, as shown in [Dav06], tells us that such points exhibit a fractal structure for the 2-dimensional discrete Gaussian Free Field (DGFF). Very similar results were obtain by Dembo, Peres, Rosen and Zeitouni in [DPRZ06] for the set of late points of the 2-d standard random walk.
To begin with, we recall the definition of discrete fractal dimension:

Definition 1.2 (Discrete fractal dimension, [BT89]) *Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d$. If the following limit exists, the fractal dimension of A is*

$$dim(A) := \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\log|A \cap V_N|}{\log N}.$$

The fractal dimension of the high points is given then in

Theorem 1.3 (Number of high points) *Let $\ell \in (0,1)$, and*

$$\mathcal{H}_N(\eta) := \left\{ x \in V_N^\ell : \varphi_x \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\eta \log N \right\}$$

be the set of η-high points.

(a) For $0 < \eta < 1$ we obtain the following limit in probability:

$$\lim_{N \to +\infty} \frac{\log |\mathcal{H}_N(\eta)|}{\log N} = 4(1 - \eta^2).$$

(b) For all $\delta > 0$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ s. t. for N large

$$\mathbf{P}_N \left(\left\{ |\mathcal{H}_N(\eta)| \leq N^{4(1-\eta^2)-\delta} \right\} \right) \leq \exp(-c \log^2 N).$$

We can push further the comparison between the DGFF and the Membrane Model at their respective critical dimensions, and one can find an interesting similarity in the behavior of the points. [Dav06] for example also showed that high points appear in clusters; this is what occurs in the membrane model, as the following two theorems show:

Theorem 1.4 (Cluster of high points 1) *Let*

$$D(x, \rho) := \{ y \in V_N : |y - x| \leq \rho \}.$$

For $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ and $\delta > 0$

$$\lim_{N \to +\infty} \max_{x \in V_N^\ell} \mathbf{P}_N \left(\left| \frac{\mathcal{H}_N(\alpha) \cap D(x, N^\beta)}{\log N} - 4\beta(1 - (\alpha/\beta)^2) \right| > \delta \right) = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Theorem 1.5 (Cluster of high points 2) *For $0 < \alpha < 1$, $0 < \beta < 1$ and $\delta > 0$ we have*

$$\lim_{N \to +\infty} \max_{x \in V_N^\ell} \mathbf{P} \left(\left| \frac{\log |\mathcal{H}_N(\alpha) \cap D(x, N^\beta)|}{\log N} - 4\beta(1 - \alpha^2) \right| > \delta \,|\, x \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha) \right) = 0.$$

It is also possible to evaluate the average number of pairs of high points as in the following theorem:

Theorem 1.6 (Pairs of high points) *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$, $0 < \beta < 1$ and let*

$$F_{h,\beta}(\gamma) := \gamma^2(1 - \beta) + \frac{h(1 - \gamma(1 - \beta))^2}{\beta}$$

$$\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta} := \left\{\gamma \geq 0 :\, 4 - 4\beta - 4\alpha^2 F_{0,\beta}(\gamma) \geq 0\right\} = \left\{\gamma \geq 0 :\, (1 - \alpha^2\gamma^2) \geq 0\right\},$$
$$\rho(\alpha,\beta) := 4 + 4\beta - 4\alpha^2 \inf_{\gamma\in\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}} F_{2,\beta}(\gamma)$$

Then

$$\lim_{N\to+\infty} \frac{\log\left|\{(x,y) \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha) :\, |x-y| \leq N^\beta\}\right|}{\log N} = \rho(\alpha,\beta) \quad \textit{in probability.}$$

Finally we can also show what the maximum width of a spike of given length is:

Theorem 1.7 (The biggest high square) *Let $-1 < \eta < 1$, $D_N(\eta)$ the side length of the biggest subbox for which all height variables are uniformly greater than $2\sqrt{2g}\eta\log N$, i. e.*

$$D_N(\eta) := \sup\left\{a \in \mathbb{N} :\, \exists x \in V_N^\ell :\, \min_{y\in B(x,a)} \varphi_y \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\eta\log N\right\}.$$

Then the following limit holds:

$$\lim_{N\to+\infty} \frac{\log D_N(\eta)}{\log N} = \frac{1-\eta}{2} \quad \textit{in probability.}$$

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we will prove some preliminary results that will be used for the proofs of the main theorems, to which Section 3 is going to be devoted.

2 Preliminary Lemmas and results

Notation

For the rest of this notice, let $\ell \in (0,1/2)$. $B(x,a)$ denotes the open ball on the lattice of center x and side length a, while $B(x,a]$ is the closed ball. Let $x_0 \in V_N$ and

$$M_\alpha := \left\{x_0 + i(N^\alpha + 4) :\, i \in N^4 \text{ and } x_0 + x_0 + i(N^\alpha + 2) \subset V_N\right\}.$$

We denote x_B the center of a (sub)box B and as Π_α the union of subboxes of side-length N^α (without discretization issues) and midpoint in M_α. $\mathscr{F}_\alpha$ will be the sigma-algebra generated by $\{\varphi_x\}$ for $x \in \bigcup_{B\in\Pi_\alpha} \partial_2 B$. Furthermore $\varphi_B := \mathbf{E}\left(\varphi_{x_B} | \mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 B}\right)$ and $\mathbf{Var}_B(\varphi_x) := \mathbf{Var}_N\left(\varphi_x | \mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 B}\right)$.

2.1 Lemmas

2.1.1 The function $\overline{G}_N(\cdot,\,\cdot)$

In order to prove some of the next results we will introduce the convolution of the harmonic Green's function, which will prove to be a key tool to obtain the crucial estimates on the covariances of our model. Let A be an arbitrary subset of $\mathbb{Z}^4$, and for $x \in A$ let $\Gamma(x, \cdot)$ be the solution of the discrete boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\Gamma_A(x,y) &= \delta_{xy}, \quad y \in A \\ \Gamma_A(x,y) &= 0, \quad y \in \partial A. \end{aligned}$$

Now define $\Gamma_N(\cdot,\,\cdot) := \Gamma_{V_N}(\cdot,\,\cdot)$. The convolution of Γ_N is

$$\overline{G}_N(x,y) := \sum_{z \in V_N} \Gamma_N(x,z)\Gamma_N(z,y), \quad x,\, y \in V_N.$$

[Kur09] contains several bounds and properties of such a function, and we would like here to recall those that we are going to use in the sequel: for all $x,\, y \in V_N$

- symmetry: $\overline{G}_N(x,y) = \overline{G}_N(y,x)$,
- radial function: $\overline{G}_N(x,y) = \overline{G}_N(0, x-y)$,
- [Kur09, Lemma 2.2] if $\ell \in (0, 1/2)$ there exist $c_1 = c_1(\ell) > 0$, $c_2 > 0$ s. t.
$$g \log N + c_1 \le \overline{G}_N(x,y) \le g \log N + c_1 \tag{2.1}$$

With this in mind it is now easier for us to show how to bound the variances and covariances of our field.

Lemma 2.1 (Bounds on the variances) *Let $d = 4$ and $0 < \delta < 1$. Then*

- *there exists $C > 0$ s. t.*
$$\sup_{x \in V_N} \mathbf{Var}_N(\varphi_x) \le g \log N + C. \tag{2.2}$$
- *There exists $C(\ell) > 0$ s. t.*
$$\sup_{x \in V_N^{\ell}} |\mathbf{Var}_N(\varphi_x) - g \log N| \le C(\ell). \tag{2.3}$$

- *There exist $C > 0$ and $C(\ell) > 0$ s. t.*

$$\sup_{\substack{x,y \in V_N^\ell \\ x \neq y}} \mathbf{Cov}_N(\varphi_x, \varphi_y) - g(\log N - \log|x-y|) \leq C. \quad (2.4)$$

$$\sup_{\substack{x,y \in V_N^\ell \\ x \neq y}} \left|\mathbf{Cov}_N(\varphi_x, \varphi_y) - g(\log N - \log|x-y|)\right| \leq C(\ell). \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. For the variances see [Kur09, Proposition 1.1]. For the covariances, remember that in [Kur09, Corollary 2.9] it is proved that for all $d \geq 4$ and for all $x \in V_N^\ell$

$$\sup_{y \in V_N^\ell} |G_N(x,y) - \overline{G}_N(x,y)| \leq cN^{4-d}. \quad (2.6)$$

It is therefore sufficient to show that (2.4) and (2.5) hold for $\overline{G}(\cdot,\cdot)$. But we have from [Kur09, Lemma 2.10], that there exists a constant K s. t. for $z \neq 0$ and all $\alpha \in (0,2)$

$$\overline{G}_N(0,0) - \overline{G}_N(0,z) = g\log|z| + K + o\left(|z|^{-\alpha}\right)$$

The function $\overline{G}(\cdot,\cdot)$ being radial, we can simplify our argument by considering $x := 0, z := |x-y| > 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &G_N(0,z) \leq \overline{G}_N(0,z) + c = \overline{G}_N(0,0) - g\log|z| + K' \overset{(2.1)}{\leq} \\ &\leq g\log N - g\log|z| + K'. \end{aligned}$$

The other bound follows similarly by considering (2.5). ■

Next we give a decomposition of the field which is similar to the one existing for the DGFF (see for example [Szn12, Section 2.1]). We see that, due to the defining boundary value problem, the membrane model enjoys the 2-Markov property, which is a generalization of the usual Markov property.

Definition 2.2 (2-Markov property) *Let A, $B \subseteq V_N$ and* $\mathrm{dist}(A,B) \geq 3$. *Then $\{\varphi_x\}_{x\in A}$ and $\{\varphi_x\}_{x\in B}$ are independent under the conditional law*

$$\mathbf{P}_N\left(\cdot \,|\, \sigma\left(\{\varphi_x,\, x \notin A \cup B\}\right)\right)$$

With this in mind, we can prove that conditioning on the values of the field assumed on the double boundary of a subset of $V_N \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^4$ (in fact of any $\mathbb{Z}^d$) the resulting field is again the membrane model restricted to the interior of the smaller domain.

Lemma 2.3 *Let $B \subseteq V_N$. Let $\mathscr{F} := \sigma(\varphi_z,\, z \in V_N \setminus B)$. Then*

$$\{\varphi_x\}_{x\in B} \overset{d}{=} \{\mathbf{E}\left[\varphi_x|\mathscr{F}\right] + \psi_x\}_{x\in B}$$

where "$\overset{d}{=}$" indicates equality in distribution, in particular under $\mathbf{P}(\cdot)$

(a) $\psi_x \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathscr{F}$;

(b) $\{\psi_x\}_{x\in B}$ *is distributed as the membrane model with 0-boundary conditions on B.*

Proof. Set $\psi_x := \varphi_x - \mathbf{E}\left[\varphi_x|\mathscr{F}\right]$ for all $x \in B$. We have to show that the above results hold.

(a) It si clear from the definition.

(b) Being $\mathbf{P}_N$ a Gibbs measure, it satisfies the DLR equation: for all $A \subseteq V_N$, $\mathscr{F}_{A^c} := \sigma\left(\varphi_z,\, z \in A^c\right)$,

$$\mathbf{P}_N(\cdot\,|\,\mathscr{F}_{A^c})(\eta) = P_{A,\eta}(\cdot) \quad \mathbf{P}_N(\mathrm{d}\eta) - a.\,s. \tag{2.7}$$

with

$$P_{A,\eta}(\mathrm{d}\varphi) = \frac{1}{Z_A}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}^d}(\Delta\varphi_x)^2\right)\prod_{x\in A}\mathrm{d}\varphi_x \prod_{x\in V_N\setminus A}\delta_{\eta_x}(\mathrm{d}\varphi_x).$$

In other words, $P_{A,\eta}$ is a Gaussian distribution with covariance matrix $\left(\Delta_A^2\right)^{-1}$. Since $\mathbf{Cov}_N(\cdot,\cdot|\mathscr{F}_{A^c})$ we find out that it equals G_A. In our case this means that $\mathbf{Cov}_N(\cdot|\mathscr{F})$ is deterministic and equal to G_B. So

$$\mathbf{Cov}_N(\psi_x,\, \psi_y) = \mathbf{Cov}_N\left(\psi_x,\, \psi_y|\mathscr{F}\right) = \mathbf{Cov}_N\left(\varphi_x,\, \varphi_y|\mathscr{F}\right) = \\ = G_B(x,y)$$

■

Remark 2.4 *This result gives us a decomposition of the membrane model in all dimensions.*

Lemma 2.5 *Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $0 < \beta < 1$, $\epsilon > 0$ and we define*

$$S := \left\{(x,y) \in V_N^\ell :\ N^{\beta(1-\epsilon)} \le |x-y| \le N^\beta\right\}.$$

Then there exist C, $\epsilon_0 > 0$ (which can be chosen uniformly on (α,β) on compact sets of $(0,1)^4$) and $\gamma_\star := 2(2-\beta)^{-1}$ s. t. for all $\epsilon \le \epsilon_0$ and all N

$$\max_{(x,y)\in S}\mathbf{P}\left(x, y \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha)\right) \le CN^{-4\alpha^2 F_{2,\beta}(\gamma_\star)+\epsilon}.$$

Proof. Let $Z := \varphi_x + \varphi_y$ and we see that

$$\{x, y \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha)\} \subseteq \left\{Z \geq 4\sqrt{2g}\alpha \log N\right\}.$$

We obtain also from (2.4) that

$$\mathbf{Cov}_N(\varphi_x, \varphi_y) \leq g \log N - g\beta(1-\epsilon) \log N + O(1).$$

Thus by (2.6) and (2.2)

$$\mathbf{Var}_N(Z) \leq (2g(2-\beta) + O(\epsilon) + O(1/\log N)) \log N.$$

Since $F_{2,\beta}(\gamma_\star) = \gamma_\star$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}(Z \geq 4\sqrt{2g}\alpha \log N) \leq \\ \leq\ & \exp\left(-\frac{16(\sqrt{2g})^2\alpha^2 \log^2 N}{2((2g(2-\beta) + O(\epsilon) + O(1/\log N)) \log N}\right) \leq \\ \leq\ & \exp\left(-4\alpha^2\gamma^*(1 + O(\epsilon) + O(1/\log N)) \log N\right) \leq \\ \leq\ & C N^{-4\alpha^2 F_{2,\beta}(\gamma_\star) + O(\epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 2.6 *Let* $B := B(x, 4N^\beta)$, $\epsilon > 0$, $b^\pm(\alpha, \beta, \epsilon, N) = 2\sqrt{2g}(\alpha(1-\beta) \pm \epsilon) \log N$, $I(\alpha, \beta, \epsilon, N) := [b^-(\alpha, \beta, \epsilon, N), b^+(\alpha, \beta, \epsilon, N)]$. *Then*

$$\max_{x \in V_N^\ell} \mathbf{P}(\varphi_B \notin I(\alpha, \beta, \epsilon, N)) | \varphi_x \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\alpha \log N) \overset{N \to +\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Proof. We shorten I, b^+ and b^- for the above quantities. We recall here two useful facts about normal random variables (whose short proof is postponed to the appendix). If $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ then

$$P(|X| \geq a) \leq \exp(-a^2/2), \quad \forall a \geq 0, \tag{2.8}$$

$$P(|X| \geq a) \geq \frac{\exp(-a^2/2)}{\sqrt{2\pi}a}, \quad \forall a \geq 1. \tag{2.9}$$

For $\eta > 0$ we obtain with (2.8) and (2.9)

$$\mathbf{P}(\varphi_x \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\alpha(1+\eta) \log N | \varphi_x \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\alpha \log N) \to 0.$$

as $N \to +\infty$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}(\varphi_B \notin I | \varphi_x \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\alpha \log N) = o(1) + \\
&+\mathbf{P}(\varphi_B \notin I, \varphi_x \leq 2\sqrt{2g}\alpha(1+\eta)\log N | \varphi_x \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\alpha \log N) \leq \\
&\leq o(1) + \mathbf{P}(\varphi_B \notin I | \varphi_x \in (1, 1+\eta)2\sqrt{2g}\alpha \log N).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we write $\varphi_x = \varphi_x - \varphi_B + \varphi_B$ and observe that $\varphi_B \perp\!\!\!\perp \varphi_x - \varphi_B$. Therefore $\mathbf{Cov}_N(\varphi_x, \varphi_B) = \mathbf{Var}_N(\varphi_B)$ and so

$$\varphi_B = \frac{\mathbf{Var}_N(\varphi_B)}{\mathbf{Var}_N(\varphi_x)}\varphi_x + Z, \quad Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_Z^2),\, Z \perp\!\!\!\perp \varphi_x.$$

If $x \in B \subseteq C$ we can decompose the variances as $\mathbf{Var}_C(\varphi_x) = \mathbf{Var}_C(\varphi_B) + \mathbf{Var}_B(\varphi_x)$, and with this

$$\frac{\mathbf{Var}_N(\varphi_B)}{\mathbf{Var}_N(\varphi_x)} = (1-\beta) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right).$$

It must then be that $\mathbf{Var}_N(Z) = O(\log N)$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}(\varphi_B \geq b^+ | \varphi_x \in (1, 1+\eta)2\sqrt{2g}\alpha \log N) \leq \\
&\leq \mathbf{P}\left(Z + \left((1-\beta) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right)\right)(1+\eta)2\sqrt{2g}\alpha \log N \geq b^+\right) \to 0
\end{aligned}$$

for $\eta < \epsilon/(\alpha(1-\beta))$. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}(\varphi_B \leq b^- | \varphi_x \in (1, 1+\eta)2\sqrt{2g}\alpha \log N) \leq \\
&\leq \mathbf{P}\left(Z + \left((1-\beta) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log N}\right)\right)2\sqrt{2g}\alpha \log N \leq b^-\right) \to 0.
\end{aligned}$$

■

Lemma 2.7 *We keep the notation of Lemma 2.5. Let $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ and $\delta > 0$. For $(x, y) \in S$ define $T(x, y)$ as the set of subboxes of side length $2N^\beta$ s. t. the centered subbox of side length N^β contains x, y. Then we can find $C, \epsilon_0 > 0$ s. t. for $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ and all N*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{\substack{x,y \in S \\ B \in T(x,y)}} \mathbf{P}\left(\{x, y \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha)\} \cap \left\{\varphi_B \leq 2\sqrt{2g}\alpha\gamma(1-\beta)\log N\right\}\right) \\
&\leq CN^{-4\alpha^2 F_{2,\beta}(\min\{\gamma, \gamma_\star\}) + \delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

ϵ_0 can be chosen uniformly on (α, β) on compact sets of $(0, 1)^4$.

Proof. Define

$$E := \{x, y \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha)\} \cap \left\{\varphi_B \leq 2\sqrt{2g}\alpha\gamma(1-\beta)\log N\right\}.$$

We distinguish two cases:

$\gamma \geq \gamma_\star$. We have $\mathbf{P}(E) \leq \mathbf{P}(\{x, y \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha)\})$: the claim follows from Lemma 2.5 because $\min\{\gamma, \gamma_\star\} = \gamma_\star$.

$\gamma < \gamma_\star$. It follows from the definition of $\gamma_\star$ that $\gamma < \gamma_\star$ implies $\gamma < (1-\beta)^{-1}$. For this reason set $a := 1 - \gamma(1-\beta) > 0$ and $b := \gamma(2-\beta) - 2 < 0$. Letting $Z := a(\varphi_x + \varphi_y) + b\varphi_B$

$$E \subseteq \left\{Z \geq (2a + b\gamma(1-\beta)\alpha 2\sqrt{2g}\log N)\right\}.$$

Furthermore we have the usual decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Var}_N(Z) &= a^2\mathbf{Var}_N(\varphi_x) + a^2\mathbf{Var}_N(\varphi_y) + b^2\mathbf{Var}_N(\varphi_B) + \\ &+ 2ab\mathbf{Cov}_N(\varphi_x, \varphi_B) + 2ab\mathbf{Cov}_N(\varphi_y, \varphi_B) + \\ &+ 2a^2\mathbf{Cov}_N(\varphi_x, \varphi_y).\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

By Lemma 2.1

$$\mathbf{Var}_N(\varphi_B) = \mathbf{Var}_N(\varphi_{x_B}) - \mathbf{Var}(\varphi_{x_B}|\mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 B}) \leq g(1-\beta)\log N + O(1).$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Cov}_N(\varphi_x, \varphi_B) &= \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E}(\varphi_x|\mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 B})\mathbf{E}(\varphi_{x_B}|\mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 B})) = \\ &= \mathbf{Cov}_N(\varphi_x, \varphi_{x_B}) - \mathbf{Cov}(\varphi_x, \varphi_{x_B}|\mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 B}) \geq \\ &\geq g(\log N - \log|x - x_B|) - g(\beta\log N - \log|x - x_B|) + O(1) = \\ &= g(1-\beta)\log N + O(1).\end{aligned}$$

Analogously

$$\mathbf{Cov}_N(\varphi_y, \varphi_B) \geq g(1-\beta)\log N + O(1).$$

Define the auxiliary function $f(a, b, \beta) := 2a^2(2-\beta) + b^2(1-\beta) + 4ab(1-\beta)$. We use these bounds in (2.10) to obtain

$$\mathbf{Var}_N(Z) \leq ((a, b, \beta) + O(\epsilon) + O(1/\log N))g\log N.$$

By the equality $2a + b = \gamma\beta$

$$4a^2 + b^2 + 4ab = (2a + b)^2 = \gamma^2\beta^2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(a,b,\beta) &= (2a+b)^2 - \beta(2a^2+b^2+4ab) = \\ &= (4a^2+b^2+4ab)(1-\beta)+2\beta a^2 = \\ &= (\gamma\beta)^2(1-\beta)+2\beta a^2 = \\ &= \beta(\beta\gamma^2(1-\beta)+2a^2) = \\ &= \beta(\beta(2a+b)(1-a)+2a^2) = \\ &= \beta(2a+b-ab). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathbf{Var}_N(Z) \le (\beta(2a+b-ab)+O(\epsilon)+O(1/\log N))g\log N. \quad (2.11)$$

Since $2a+b-ab = 2a+b\gamma(1-\beta)$ (2.10) and (2.11) yield

$$\mathbf{P}(E) \le C\exp\left(-\left(\frac{4\alpha^2(2a+b-ab)}{\beta}+O(\epsilon)\right)\log N\right).$$

Finally notice that

$$\beta F_{2,\beta}(\gamma) = \beta\gamma^2(1-\beta)+2(1-\gamma(1-\beta))^2 = \beta\gamma^2(1-\beta)+2a^2 = \\ = (2a+b)(1-a)+2a^2 = 2a+b-ab.$$

This allows us to conclude the proof. ∎

Finally we would like to recall

Lemma 2.8 ([Kur09, Lemma 2.11]) *Let $0 < n < N$, $A_N \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^4$ be a box of side-length N, $A_n \subseteq A_N$ a box of side-length n with the same center $x_B \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ as A_N. Let $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$. There exists $C > 0$ such that for all $x \in A_n$ with $|x - x_B| < \epsilon n$*

$$\mathbf{Var}\left(\mathbf{E}\left(\varphi_x \mid \mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 A_n}\right) - \mathbf{E}\left(\varphi_{x_B} \mid \mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 A_n}\right) \mid \mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 A_N}\right) \le c\epsilon$$

3 Five theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The core of the proof is the lower bound (b) which was already proved by [Kur09, Theorem 1.3] and is based on the hierarchical decomposition of the membrane model, similar to that of the DGFF (for the main idea supporting the proof we also refer to [BDG01]). We show here for the reader's convenience the upper bound, in order to obtain the desired limit in probability.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (a). For any $\delta > 0$ one can apply Chebyshev's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}\left(\left\{|\mathcal{H}_N(\eta)| \le N^{-4(1-\eta^2)-\delta}\right\}\right) \le N^{4(1-\eta^2)+\delta}\mathbf{E}|\mathcal{H}_N(\eta)| \le \\ \le \; & N^{-4(1-\eta^2)-\delta} N^4 \max_{x\in V_N} \mathbf{P}\left(\varphi_x \ge 2\sqrt{2g}\eta \log N\right) \le \\ \le \; & N^{-4(1-\eta^2)-\delta} N^4 \exp\left(-\frac{8g\eta^2 \log^2 N}{2g\log N + C}\right) \le N^{-4(1-\eta^2)-\delta} N^{4-4\eta^2} \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.1 too. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We choose $\eta, \delta > 0$ and define

$$D_+ := \left\{\varphi_B \le 2\sqrt{2g}\eta \log N\right\}$$

$$C_+ := \left\{|\mathcal{H}_N(\alpha) \cap D(x, N^\beta)| \ge N^{4\beta(1-(\alpha/\beta)^2)-\delta)}\right\}$$

and for an $\epsilon > 0$ to be fixed later

$$A := \bigcup_{y \in D(x,N^\beta)} \left\{|\mathbf{E}(\varphi_y|\mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 B}) - \varphi_B| \ge 2\sqrt{2g}\epsilon \log N\right\}$$

By Lemma 2.8 $\mathbf{Var}_N(\varphi_B - \mathbf{E}(\varphi_y|\mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 B})) \le c$, and so

$$\mathbf{P}(A) = O\left(N^{4\beta} \exp\left(-c\log^2 N\right)\right)$$

tends to 0. Furthemore also $\mathbf{P}(D_+^c)$ tends to 0 by virtue of the bounds on covariances and (2.8). We then have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}(C_+) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{P}(C_+|\mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 B})) \le \mathbf{P}(A) + \mathbf{P}(D_+^c) + \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{P}(C_+|\mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 B})\mathbf{1}_{A^c \cap D_+}) \le \\ & \le o(1) + \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\mathcal{H}_{4N^\beta}\left(\frac{\alpha - \epsilon'}{\beta}\right)\right| \ge N^{4\beta(1-(\alpha/\beta)^2)-\delta)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where ϵ' satisfies

$$\frac{\alpha - \epsilon'}{\beta} \log(4N^\beta) = (\alpha - \eta - \epsilon)\log N$$

By tuning the parameters N large enough and η, ϵ small enough we obtain

$$4\beta\left(1 - \left(\frac{\alpha - \epsilon'}{\beta}\right)^2\right) + \delta < 4\beta\left(1 - \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^2\right) + \delta$$

(roughly speaking, we have $\epsilon' \approx \alpha(1-\beta)$). By Theorem 1.3

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\left|\mathcal{H}_{4N^{\beta}}\left(\frac{\alpha-\epsilon'}{\beta}\right)\right| \geq N^{4\beta(1-(\alpha/\beta)^2)-\delta}\right) \to 0$$

and from this the claim follows. We now go to the lower bound proof, which is similar in spirit to the upper bound. By setting

$$D_- := \left\{\varphi_B \geq -2\sqrt{2g}\eta \log N\right\},$$
$$C_- := \left\{|\mathcal{H}_N(\alpha) \cap D(x, N^{\beta})| \leq N^{4\beta(1-(\alpha/\beta)^2)-\delta)}\right\}$$

we also define

$$\mathcal{H}_N^s(\eta) := \left\{x \in V_N^s : \varphi_x \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\eta \log N\right\}, \quad s \in (0, 1/2).$$

We observe that

$$\mathbf{P}(C_-) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{P}(C_-|\mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 B})) \leq \mathbf{P}(A) + \mathbf{P}(D_-^c) + \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{P}(C_+|\mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 B})\mathbf{1}_{A^c \cap D_-}) \leq$$
$$\leq o(1) + \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\mathcal{H}_{4N^{\beta}}^{3/8}\left(\frac{\alpha+\epsilon'}{\beta}\right)\right| \leq N^{4\beta(1-(\alpha/\beta)^2)-\delta)}\right)$$

where ϵ' satisfies

$$\frac{\alpha+\epsilon'}{\beta}\log(4N^{\beta}) = (\alpha+\eta+\epsilon)\log N$$

and we conclude as before. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We will use the notation $b^{\pm}(\alpha, \beta, \eta, N)$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.6. We will also introduce the following quantitites: let $B := B(x, 4N^{\beta})$, and for $\eta, \delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} E &:= \left\{|\mathcal{H}_N(\alpha) \cap D(x, N^{\beta})| \leq N^{4\beta(1-\alpha^2)-\delta}\right\} \\ F &:= \left\{\varphi_B \geq b^-(\alpha, \beta, \eta, N)\right\} \\ G &:= \left\{x \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Lower bound. Thanks to Lemma 2.6 we have $\mathbf{P}(E|G) = \mathbf{P}(E|F,G)\mathbf{P}(F) = \mathbf{P}(E|F,G)\mathbf{P}(F|G)\mathbf{P}(G) = \mathbf{P}(E|F,G)(1+o(1)) + o(1)$. This means that

$$\mathbf{P}(E|F,G) = \frac{\mathbf{P}(E,F,G)}{\mathbf{P}(F,G)} \leq \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(F,G)}\sqrt{\mathbf{P}(G)\mathbf{P}(E,F)} =$$
$$= \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(F|G)\mathbf{P}(G)}\sqrt{\mathbf{P}(G)\mathbf{P}(F)\mathbf{P}(E|F)} =$$
$$\overset{Lemma\ 2.6}{=} (1+o(1))\sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{P}(F)}{\mathbf{P}(G)}\mathbf{P}(E|F)}$$

We know by the bounds (2.2) and (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(G) &= \mathbf{P}(\varphi_x \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\alpha \log N) \geq c_1 \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{8g\alpha^2 \log^2 N}{2g \log N + c_2}\right)}{c_3 \log N} \geq \\
&\geq \exp\left(-d' \log N\right) \\
\mathbf{P}(F) &= \mathbf{P}(\varphi_B \geq 2\sqrt{2g}(\alpha(1-\beta)-\eta) \log N) \leq \\
&\leq c_4 \exp\left(-\frac{8g(\alpha(1-\beta)-\eta)^2 \log^2 N}{2g(1-\beta)\log N + c_5}\right) \leq \exp\left(-d'' \log N\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for some d', $d'' > 0$. Therefore we can find $d > 0$ s. t. $\mathbf{P}(F)/\mathbf{P}(G) \leq \exp(d \log N)$ and to show the result it suffices to prove that $\mathbf{P}(E|F) \leq \exp(-c \log^2 N)$ for a positive c. For this purpose define

$$A := \bigcup_{y \in B} \left\{ \mathbf{E}(\varphi_y | \mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 B}) - \varphi_B| \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\epsilon \log N \right\}.$$

From Lemma 2.8 it follows that $\mathbf{P}(A) \leq \exp(-c \log^2 N)$ for $c > 0$ and from (2.9) that $\mathbf{P}(F) \geq \exp\left(-d \log N\right)$ for some $d > 0$, all in all $\mathbf{P}(A|F) \leq \exp\left(-O\left(\log^2 N\right)\right)$. So we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}(E|F) \leq \frac{\mathbf{P}(F \cap A)}{\mathbf{P}(F)} + \\
&+ \frac{\mathbf{P}(E \cap F \cap A)}{\mathbf{P}(F)} \leq \\
&\exp\left(-O\left(\log^2 N\right)\right) + \frac{\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{P}\left(E|\mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 B}\right)\mathbf{1}_{A^c}\mathbf{1}_F)}{\mathbf{P}(F)}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we are on $A^c \cap F$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}\left(|\mathcal{H}_N(\alpha) \cap D(x, N^\beta)| \leq N^{4\beta(1-\alpha^2)-\delta} | \mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 B}\right) \leq \\
&\leq \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\mathcal{H}^{3/8}_{4N^\beta}(\alpha + \epsilon')\right| \leq N^{4\beta(1-\alpha^2)-\delta}\right) \qquad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

where ϵ' is such that

$$(\alpha - (\alpha(1-\beta)-\eta) + \epsilon) \log N = (\alpha + \epsilon') \log 4N^\beta \qquad (3.2)$$

From Theorem 1.3 we know that (3.1) is bounded from above by $\exp(-c \log^2 N)$ for a constant $c > 0$, provided that ϵ' is small (which can be obtained if η, ϵ and N are small, small and large respectively).

Upper bound. Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\left\{\beta_j := \frac{j}{K}\beta\right\}_{1\leq j\leq K}$. Then let

$$D_1 := D\left(x, N_1^{\beta}\right) \quad D_i := D\left(x, N^{\beta_i}\right) \setminus D\left(x, N^{\beta_{i-1}}\right)$$

Since $D\left(x, N^{\beta}\right) = \cup_{1\leq i\leq N} D_i$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\{\left|\mathcal{H}_N(\alpha) \cap D\left(x, N^{\beta}\right)\right| \geq N^{4\beta(1-\alpha^2)+\epsilon}\right\} \subseteq \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{0\leq i\leq N} \left\{|\mathcal{H}_N(\alpha) \cap D_i| \geq N^{4\beta_1(1-\alpha^2)+\epsilon/2}\right\}\end{aligned}$$

as soon as N is large. It is then sufficient to prove that for all i

$$\mathbf{P}\left(|\mathcal{H}_N(\alpha) \cap D_i| \geq N^{4\beta_1(1-\alpha^2)+\epsilon/2}\, |x \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha)\right) \overset{N\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$$

We can consider β_j's for which $4\beta_j(1-\alpha^2)+\epsilon/2 \leq 4\beta_j$. Let $B_j := B\left(x, 4N^{\beta_j}\right)$,

$$C := \left\{\left|\mathcal{H}_N(\alpha) \cap D_j\right| \geq N^{4\beta_j(1-\alpha^2)+\epsilon/2}\right\}$$

and $b^+(\alpha, \beta_j, \eta, N)$ as above. By Lemma 2.6 we obtained

$$\mathbf{P}\left(C|x \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha)\right) = \mathbf{P}(C \cap \left\{\varphi_{B_j} \leq b^+(\alpha, \beta_j, \eta, N)\right\} |x \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha)\Big) + o(1)$$

If we set $F := \left\{\varphi_{B_j} \leq b^+(\alpha, \beta_j, \eta, N)\right\}$, $G := \{x \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha)\}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}(C \cap F|G) &\overset{\textit{Chebyshev inq.}}{\leq} \frac{N^{-4\beta_j(1-\alpha^2)-\epsilon/2}}{\mathbf{P}(G)}\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{1}_{F\cap G}|\mathcal{H}_N(\alpha) \cap D_j|) = \\ &= \frac{N^{-4\beta_j(1-\alpha^2)-\epsilon/2}}{\mathbf{P}(G)}\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{y\in D_j} \mathbf{1}_{\{x,y\in\mathcal{H}_N(\alpha)\}}\mathbf{1}_F\right) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{N^{4\beta_j\alpha^2-\epsilon/2}}{\mathbf{P}(G)} \sup_{y\in D_j} \mathbf{P}(\{x, y \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha)\} \cap F)\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

By the bounds on the covariance and the normal distribution we have

$$\mathbf{P}(G)^{-1} \leq N^{4\alpha^2+\epsilon/8} \tag{3.4}$$

for N large. By Lemma 2.7 by defining $\gamma^* = \frac{2}{2-\beta_j} > 1$ when η is small and K large we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{y \in D_j} \mathbf{P}(\{x, y \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha)\} \cap F) \le N^{-4\alpha^2 F_{2,\beta_j}(1)+\epsilon/8} = \\ &= N^{-4\alpha^2(1+\beta_j)+\epsilon/8} \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Inserting (3.4) and (3.5) in (3.3) we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}(C \cap F | G) \le N^{4\beta_j\alpha^2 - \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/8 + 4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha^2(1+\beta_j) + \epsilon/8} = \frac{1}{N^{\epsilon/4}} \xrightarrow{N \to +\infty} 0$$

■

Proof of Theorem 1.6. We first make some remarks on the above definitions. In first place, notice that $\rho(\alpha, \beta)$ is increasing in β. If we set $\gamma_m := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}} F_{2,\beta}(\gamma)$, $\gamma_* := \inf_{\gamma \ge 0} F_{2,\beta}(\gamma)$ and $\gamma_+ := \sup \Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}$ we have $\gamma_* \le \gamma_m \le \gamma_+$ and moreover since $F_{h,\beta}(\cdot)$ does not depend on α as well as $\Gamma_{\alpha,\beta}$ does not depend on β we have $\gamma_m = \min\{\gamma_*, \gamma_+\}$. Next notice that

$$\gamma_+ = 1/\alpha \ge 1$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(\alpha, \beta) &\ge 4 + 4\beta - 4\alpha^2 F_{2,\beta}(1) = \\ &= 4(1-\alpha^2)(1+\beta)\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Lower bound. We set

$$C := \left\{ \left| \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha) : |x - y| \le N^{\beta} \right\} \right| \le N^{\rho(\alpha,\beta)-\delta} \right\}.$$

Set $m_\gamma := 4 - 4\beta - 4\alpha^2 F_{0,\beta}(\gamma) = 4(1-\beta)(1-\alpha^2\gamma^2)$ and choose $\gamma < \gamma_+$ (in order to have m_γ strictly positive). Further

$$F := \left\{ B \in \Pi_\beta : \varphi_B \ge 2\sqrt{2g}\gamma(1-\beta)\alpha \log N \right\},$$

$$D := \left\{ |F| \ge N^{m_\gamma - \delta/2} \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.3[(a)] shows that $\mathbf{P}(D^c) \to 0$. Hence we rewrite

$$\mathbf{P}(C) = o(1) + \mathbf{P}(D \cap C).$$

[(a)] The idea is to scale the square: now we take the box with mesh N/N^{β} and the grid is made by $\{x_B : B \in \Pi_\beta\}$. In this way Theorem 1.3 tells us that $\mathcal{H}_{N^{1-\beta}}(\gamma\alpha) \approx N^{4(1-\beta)(1-\gamma^2\alpha^2)} = N^{m_\gamma}$.

On D we have at least $\left\{B_j :\, 1 \le j \le N^{m_\gamma - \delta/2}\right\}$ boxes. Set

$$D_j := \left\{ \varphi_{B_j} \ge 2\sqrt{2g}\alpha\gamma(1-\beta)\log N \right\}.$$

We observe

$$C \cap D \subseteq E := \bigcup_{j=1}^{N^{m_\gamma-\delta/2}} \left(D_j \cap \left\{ \left|\mathcal{H}_N(\alpha) \cap B_j\right| \le N^{(\rho(\alpha,\beta)-m_\gamma)/4-\delta/8} \right\} \right).$$

Let us now put for some arbitrary $\eta > 0$

$$A := \bigcup_{B \in \Pi_\beta} \bigcup_{y \in B\left(x_B, N^\beta/2\right)} \left\{ \left| \mathbf{E}\left(\varphi_y | \mathscr{F}_B\right) - \varphi_B \right| \ge 2\sqrt{2g}\eta \log N \right\}.$$

As before $\mathbf{P}(A) = o(1)$ as $N \to +\infty$. Plugging this in, exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1.4

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{P}(C \cap D) \le o(1) + \mathbf{P}(E \cap A^c) \le \\ &\le o(1) + \\ &+N^{m_\gamma-\delta/2}\mathbf{P}\left(\left| \mathcal{H}^{1/4}_{N^\beta}\left(\frac{\alpha(1-\gamma(1-\beta))+\eta}{\beta} \right) \right| \le N^{\frac{\rho(\alpha,\beta)-m_\gamma}{4}-\frac{\delta}{8}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we observe that

$$\frac{\rho(\alpha,\beta)-m_\gamma}{4} \ge 2\beta\left(1 - \frac{\alpha^2\left(1-\gamma(1-\beta)\right)^2}{\beta^2}\right)$$

which is $\exp(-O(\log^2 N))$ by Theorem 1.3 for η small enough, as we have already seen. Hence $\mathbf{P}(C \cap D) = o(1)$, and we conclude the proof.

Upper bound. By Theorem 1.3 we see that for $\lambda > 0$ the number of α-high points within distance $N^{\lambda\beta}$ is at most $N^{4(1-\alpha^2)+4\lambda\beta}$. We have with (3.6) that $4(1-\alpha^2)+4\lambda\beta \le \rho(\alpha,\beta)$ if

$$4(1-\alpha^2)+4\lambda\beta \le 4(1-\alpha^2)(1+\beta) \iff \lambda \le (1-\alpha^2).$$

Therefore when this condition is not satisfied it is enough to find that there exists $h = h(\delta) < 1$ s. t. for all $\beta' \in [\beta(1-\alpha^2), \beta]$

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\left| \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha) :\, N^{\beta'} \le |x-y| \le N^{\beta' h} \right\} \right| \ge N^{\rho(\alpha,\beta')+\delta} \right) \to 0.$$

We separate the two cases $\gamma_* \gtreqless \gamma_m$:

$\gamma_* = \gamma_m$. Define

$$E := \left\{ \left| (x,y) \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha) : \, N^{\beta'} \le |x-y| \le N^{\beta' h} \right| \ge N^{\rho(\alpha,\beta')+\delta} \right\}.$$

By Chebyshev inequality

$$\mathbf{P}(E) \le N^{-\rho(\alpha,\beta')-\delta} \mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{(x,y):\, N^{\beta'} \le |x-y| \le N^{\beta' h}} \right) \mathbf{1}_{\{x,y \in \mathcal{H}_N(\alpha)\}} \le$$
$$\le N^{-\rho(\alpha,\beta')-\delta} N^{4+4\beta'-4\alpha^2 F_{2,\beta'}(\gamma_*)+\delta/2},$$

where we have used the assumption that h is close to 1 and Lemma 2.5

$\gamma_* > \gamma_m$. We construct for each $B \in \Pi_{\beta'}$ a bigger box of size $4N^{\beta'}$ by juxtaposing to it the 12 adjacent subboxes of same side length. We call the set of such bigger boxes $\mathcal{B}$, and for each $B' \in \mathcal{B}$ we center in $x_{B'}$ a box of twice bigger volume as B'. The latter boxes belong to a new set named $\mathcal{C}$. We remark that all pairs of points within distance $N^{\beta'}$ must belong to at least one $B' \in \mathcal{B}$. For $\epsilon > 0$ set

$$D := \left\{ \max_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \varphi_C \ge (1+\alpha\epsilon)(1-\beta') 2\sqrt{2g} \log N \right\}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 and the fact that $\left\{ \varphi_y : \, y \in B \right\}$ with boundary conditions $\partial_2 B$ is a Gaussian field

$$\mathbf{P}(D^c) \quad \le \quad \left| \Pi_{\beta'} \right| \exp\left(-\frac{(1+\alpha\epsilon)^2 (1-\beta')^2 (2\sqrt{2g})^2 \log^2 N}{2g \log N^{\beta'} + O(1)} \right) \to 0$$

since $\left| \Pi_{\beta'} \right| = O(N^{4(1-\beta')})$. So noticing that $\alpha(\gamma_m + \epsilon) = (1 + \alpha\epsilon)$

$$\mathbf{P}(E) = o(1) + \mathbf{P}(E \cap D) \le o(1) + N^{-\rho(\alpha,\beta')-\delta} N^{4+4\beta'-4\alpha^2 F_{2,\beta'}(\gamma_m+\epsilon)+\delta/2}$$

if h is close to 1. $4 + 4\beta' - 4\alpha^2 F_{2,\beta'}(\gamma_m + \epsilon) \overset{\epsilon \to 0}{\longrightarrow} \rho(\alpha,\beta')$, thus $\mathbf{P}(E) \to 0$.

■

Proof of Theorem 1.7.

Lower bound. We recall the notation used in the proof of Theorem 1.3 by N. Kurt. For $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$ we choose $1 \leq k \leq K+1$ s. t.

$$\alpha_k := \frac{\alpha(K-k+1)}{K} > \frac{1-\eta}{2} - \delta \tag{3.7}$$

(δ must be thought small). Let us now define recursively $\Gamma_{\alpha_1} := \Pi_{\alpha_1}$. Then for $i \geq 2$, we set Γ_{α_i} as follows: for any $B \in \Gamma_{\alpha_{i-1}}$ define $\Gamma_{B,\alpha_i} := \{B' \in \Pi_{\alpha_i} : B' \subseteq B/2\}$. Then

$$\Gamma_{\alpha_i} := \bigcup_{B \in \Gamma_{\alpha_{i-1}}} \Gamma_{B,\alpha_i}.$$

We re-use the notation $\underline{B}^{(k)}$ for a sequence of boxes $B_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq B_k$, $B_i \in \Gamma_{\alpha_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Finally

$$D_k := \left\{ \underline{B}^{(k)} : \varphi_{B_i} \geq (\alpha - \alpha_i)\lambda 2\sqrt{2g}(1 - 1/K)\log N, \, \forall\, 1 \leq i \leq K \right\},$$

$$C_k := \{|D_k| \geq n_k\}$$

We denote the biggest box of $\underline{B}^{(k)}$ with $B_{1,k}$. Let B be a box of side length $N^{\alpha_k}/2$ centered in $B_{1,k}$. Let $n_k := N^{\kappa + 4\alpha(k-1)\frac{(1-\lambda)^2}{K}}$, where κ is the constant appearing in [Kur07, Lemma 3.2]. Define moreover for $\epsilon > 0$

$$A := \bigcup_{y \in B} \left\{ |\mathbf{E}(\varphi_y - \varphi_{x_B} | \mathscr{F}_{\alpha_k})| \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\epsilon(\alpha - \alpha_k)(1 - \gamma_K)\log N \right\}.$$

By Lemma 2.8 $\mathbf{P}(A^c) \to 1$ and $\mathbf{P}(C_k) \to 1$ as in Theorem 1.3 (C_k is the same event). So

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}\left(D_N(\eta) \leq N^{\frac{1-\eta}{2}-\delta}\right) \leq \\
&\leq o(1) + \\
&+\mathbf{P}\left(C_k \cap A^c \cap \left\{\min_{y \in B} \varphi_y \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\eta \log N\right\}\right) \leq \\
&\overset{Def. of\, A, D_k}{\leq} o(1) + \\
&+\mathbf{P}\Bigg(\min_{y \in B}(\varphi_y - \mathbf{E}(\varphi_y | \mathscr{F}_{\alpha_k})) \leq \\
&2\sqrt{2g}\log N(\eta - (\alpha - \alpha_k)(1 - \gamma_K)(1 - \epsilon))\Bigg) \leq \\
&\leq \mathbf{P}\left(\max_{y \in V^{1/4}_{N^{\alpha_k}}} \varphi_y \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\log N(-\eta + (\alpha - \alpha_k)(1 - \gamma_K)(1 - \epsilon))\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where in the latter inequality we used the fact that $V^{1/4}_{N^{\alpha_k}} \supseteq B$. For

$$2\sqrt{2g}\log N(-\eta + (\alpha - \alpha_k)(1-\gamma_K)(1-\epsilon)) > 2\sqrt{2g}\log N^{\alpha_k} \quad (3.8)$$

we would obtain thanks to Theorem 1.3 that for N large this probability tends to 0. But (3.7) and (3.8) give rise to a system of equations which has a solution for large K and N, α close to 1 and ϵ small when $1/2 + \eta/2k/K < \eta/2 + \delta + 1/2$. ∎

Upper bound. We set $\theta := \frac{1-\eta}{2}$, $\beta := \theta + \delta$. We have first of all that

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\bigcup_{B\in\Pi_\beta}\{\varphi_B \geq 2\sqrt{2g}(1-\theta)\log N\}\right) \overset{N\to+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \quad (3.9)$$

since we have the variance bounds and (2.8). Furthermore let us define

$$F := \left\{\bigcap_{B\in\Pi_\beta}\{\varphi_B \leq 2\sqrt{2g}(1-\theta)\log N\}\right\},$$

$$C := \left\{\bigcup_{B\in\Pi_\beta}\{\forall\, x \in B(\varphi_x \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\eta\log N)\}\right\}.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{P}\left(D_N(\eta) \right.\ &\geq\ \left. N^{\theta+2\delta}\right) \leq \mathbf{P}(C) \leq \\ &\leq \mathbf{P}(F^c) + \mathbf{P}(F\cap C) \leq \\ &\overset{(3.9)}{\leq} o(1) + \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{P}(C|\mathscr{F}_\beta)\mathbf{1}_F).\end{aligned}$$

If $B \in \Pi_\beta$ we indicate with $B^{(1/4)}$ the subbox $B(x_B, N^\beta/2)$. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ and define

$$A := \bigcup_{B\in\Pi_B}\bigcup_{y\in B^{(1/4)}}\left\{|\mathbf{E}(\varphi_y - \varphi_{x_B}|\mathscr{F}_{\partial_2 B})| \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\epsilon\log N\right\}.$$

With Lemma 2.8 we obtain that $\mathbf{P}(A)$ tends to 0 as in Theorem 1.4. We can further bound

$$\mathbf{P}(D_N(\eta) \geq N^{\theta+2\delta}) \leq o(1) + \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{P}(C|\mathscr{F}_\beta)\mathbf{1}_{F\cap A^c}).$$

To go on we notice that

$$\mathbf{P}(C|\mathscr{F}_\beta) \leq \left(\frac{N}{N^\beta}\right)^4 \max_{B\in\Pi_\beta} \mathbf{P}\left(\forall\, x \in B(\varphi_x \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\eta\log N)\right) \quad (3.10)$$

and in particular on $F \cap A^c$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}\left(\forall\, x \in B(\varphi_x \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\eta\log N)\right) \leq \\
&\leq \mathbf{P}\left(\forall\, x \in B(\varphi_x - \mathbf{E}(\varphi_x|\mathscr{F}_\beta) \geq 2\sqrt{2g}\log N(\eta - (1-\theta+\epsilon)))|notation\mathscr{F}_\beta\right) = \\
&= \mathbf{P}\left(\max_{x\in V^{1/4}_{N^\beta}} \varphi_x \leq 2\sqrt{2g}\log N(\theta+\epsilon)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.1 this quantity is $O\left(\exp\left(-d\log^2 N\right)\right)$ for a positive d when for instance $\beta > (\theta+\epsilon)$ which implies $\epsilon < \delta$. To sum up

$$\mathbf{P}(C|\mathscr{F}_\beta) \leq \exp\left(2(1-\beta)\log N - d\log^2 N\right) \to 0$$

and recalling (3.10) we finish the proof.

■

A Gaussian bounds

Proof of (2.8) **and** (2.9)**.**

(2.8) For $t > a > 0$, $t + a > t - a$ and hence $t^2 - a^2 > (t-a)^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp(a^2/2)P(|X| > a) &= 2\exp\left(a^2/2\right)P(X > a) = \\
&= 2\int_a^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{t^2 - a^2}{2}\right) \mathrm{d}t < \\
&< 2\int_a^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-a)^2}{2}\right) \mathrm{d}t = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the bound holds also at a=0.

(2.9) We have that the function

$$g(a) := 2P(X > a) - \frac{\exp\left(-a^2/2\right)}{\sqrt{2\pi}a}$$

is such that $g(1) > 0$, and its derivative

$$g'(a) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-a^2/2\right)\left(\frac{1+a^2-a^3}{a^2}\right) < 0, \quad \forall\, a \geq 1.$$

Since $\lim_{a\to+\infty} g(a) = 0$, $g(a)$ is always non negative.

References

[BDG01] Erwin Bolthausen, Jean-Dominique Deuschel, and Giambattista Giacomin. Entropic repulsion and the maximum of the two-dimensional harmonic crystal. *Ann. Probab.*, 29(4):1670–1692, 2001.

[BT89] Martin Barlow and S. James Taylor. Fractional dimension of sets in discrete spaces. *J.Phys. A: Math. Gen*, (22):2621–2626, 1989.

[CB10] Francesco Caravenna and Martin Borecki. Localization for $(1+1)$-dimensional pinning models with $(\nabla + \delta)$-interaction. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 15:no. 48, 534–548, 2010.

[Dav06] Olivier Daviaud. Extremes of the discrete two-dimensional gaussian free field. *The Annals of Probability*, 34(3):962–986, 2006.

[DPRZ06] Amir Dembo, Yuval Peres, Jay Rosen, and Ofer Zeitouni. Late points for random walks in two dimensions. *The Annals of Probability*, 34(1):219–263, 2006.

[Kur07] Noemi Kurt. Entropic repulsion for a class of Gaussian interface models in high dimensions. *Stochastic Processes Appl.*, 117(1):23–34, 2007.

[Kur08] Noemi Kurt. *Entropic repulsion for a Gaussian membrane model in the critical and supercritical dimension*. PhD thesis, University of Zurich, 2008.

[Kur09] Noemi Kurt. Maximum and entropic repulsion for a gaussian membrane model in the critical dimension. *The Annals of Probability*, 37(2):687–725, 2009.

[Sak03] Hironobu Sakagawa. Entropic repulsion for a gaussian lattice field with certain finite range interactions. *J. Math. Phys.*, 44(7):2939–2951, 2003.

[Szn12] Alain-Sol Sznitman. *Topics in Occupation Times and Gaussian Free Fields*. Zurich Lectures in Advanced Mathematics. Amer Mathematical Society, 2012.